

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



FILED
10-19-16
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.	R. 15-02-020
--	--------------

**NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC
2016 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD
PROCUREMENT PLAN
PUBLIC VERSION APPENDIX A REDACTED
(REFORMATTED)**

Greg Bass
Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC
401 West "A" Street, Suite 500
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 684-8199
gbass@noblesolutions.com

October 19th, 2016

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.	R. 15-02-020
--	--------------

**NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC
2016 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD
PROCUREMENT PLAN
PUBLIC VERSION APPENDIX A REDACTED
(REFORMATTED)**

In accordance with the Assigned Commissioner’s Ruling¹ (“ACR”) and the authority cited therein, Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC, (“Noble Solutions”) hereby submits its 2016 Renewables Portfolio Standard (“RPS”) Procurement Plan. In fulfilling its reporting obligations, Noble Solutions responds herein to Sections 6.1 through 6.5, 6.7, 6.8, and 6.12 through 6.14 of the ACR.

On October 17, 2016, the Energy Division notified Noble Solutions that its 2016 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan (Redacted) filed and served on August 8, 2016, bore a formatting error which cut-off the top rows of the RNS spreadsheet shown at pages 11 and 12 of that filing. This filing has been reformatted so as to restore those rows to the spreadsheet.

¹ *Assigned Commissioner and Assigned Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Identifying Issues And Schedule Of Review For 2016 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plans*, Rulemaking 15-02-020, May 17, 2016.

Response to Items 6.1 through 6.5

6.1 Noble Solutions has been serving retail load in California since 1998, and it has consistently pursued a strategy of matching its procurement of all electric products needed to serve its customers with its customers' needs. Noble Solutions, like other Electric Service Providers ("ESPs"), provides electric products and services to retail customers under contractual arrangements that are typically over terms that average anywhere between 12 to 24 months. There are sound business reasons for the relatively short-term nature of these agreements. One of these reasons is that during the term of a retail energy services contract, there is substantial credit risk, borne solely by the ESP. Moreover, Noble Solutions' customers demand these relatively short-term arrangements for reasons as varied as the customers Noble Solutions serves. Consequently, Noble Solutions meets its procurement obligations with products that match, as closely as possible, the forecasted customer loads and contract durations.

Noble Solutions' RPS procurement planning for the next 20 years involves the assumption that Noble Solutions will retain most of its current retail customers. This strategy creates supply exposure to customer volatility and may result in over-procurement at the conclusion of a compliance period. Historically RPS procurement has been weighted toward longer-term in-state RPS procurement when available, and shorter-term out-of-state imported (hourly basis, source-to-sink) RPS procurement, backfilled with Category 2 firmed-and-shaped imported RPS, with Category 3 unbundled RPS RECs to balance out the compliance period's procurement. Under expected market conditions over the next 20 years, this strategy will likely result in Noble Solutions procuring the least-expensive RPS product (Category 3 unbundled RECs) to the maximum percentage allowed

for the compliance period delivered under short-term contracts; then procuring at least the minimum amount of RPS Category 1 (direct delivery) product under short- and medium-term contracts; then meeting the residual RPS compliance obligations with RPS Category 2 (firmed and shaped) procurement.

Noble Solutions constantly reviews its market positions to optimize its portfolio of all electricity products, both from renewable and conventional sources. The amendments to the RPS policy contained in SB 2 (1X) and SB 350 have not changed Noble Solutions' basic procurement strategy. However, the new RPS program requirements are increasingly prescriptive and have added significant complexity with respect to the various kinds of RPS products and their relative percentages within the RPS portfolio. Noble Solutions is evaluating the most appropriate means of responding to these prescriptive requirements including recently enacted SB 350 which includes significant changes to the required quantities of long-term RPS contracting and the complexities they present in the context of its current procurement strategy.

Noble Solutions does not procure its RPS products on the basis of the deliverability characteristics specified (i.e., peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, as-available) or additional factors, such as ability and/or willingness to be curtailed, operational flexibility, etc. Rather, as noted above, Noble Solutions designs its procurement objectives around the Category specifications and RPS portfolio ratios set forth in PU Code Sec. 399.16, while seeking the least-cost solution within these constraints and engaging in longer term RPS product acquisition as commercially expedient opportunities develop.

6.2 Noble Solutions currently has three RPS supply contracts sourced from facilities still under development, therefore the quantities received under each will be contingent on the facilities successfully achieving commercial operation. One contract delivers from 2016 through 2018, the second contract delivers from 2017 through 2019 and the third from 2017 through 2018. Each of the three contracts are sourced from an individual facility. One facility has completed construction and is in precommercial operations. The other two facilities are expected to complete construction later this year.

6.3 Noble Solutions has generally found that market participants develop projects and products necessary to meet the state's RPS requirements. Nevertheless, there are occasional issues with whether the Commission will approve of the market's response to new RPS procurement. For example, there is some degree of uncertainty when Noble Solutions purchases RPS energy that has a condition precedent related to regulatory approvals. The regulatory process is time consuming and Commission approval is not certain. In order to counter this risk, Noble Solutions attempts to make these kinds of RPS purchases far enough in advance of the close of the respective compliance period such that if a negative regulatory outcome occurs, there will likely still be time enough for Noble Solutions to procure from other sources to meet the compliance period obligation.

6.4 As discussed in the response to Section 6.2, Noble Solutions has entered into three RPS supply agreements that rely on new projects achieving commercial operation. The volumes to be supplied from these contracts are not significant for Compliance Period ("CP") 2, but do represent a meaningful portion of Noble Solutions' RPS expected supply for CP3.

Noble Solutions is confident the volumes under these contracts will be fully delivered. First, the facilities supplying these contracts are under Commission-approved long-term contracts with investor-owned utilities pursuant to similar contract terms. The utility contracts provide financing certainty to the construction of the project. Second, all of the facilities are solar projects eligible for the 30% ITC and therefore have an enormous financial incentive to achieve commercial operation before 2017 when current tax advantages expire. Third, the suppliers are among the best-capitalized and most experienced developers of renewable projects, and have already brought utility-scale renewable projects to successful operation in California.

When contracting, Noble Solutions considers the risk of lower than expected generation by incorporating that risk into the commercial terms of its contracts. Other risks, such as variable generation, resource availability (e.g., biofuel supply, water, etc.), load changes, and impacts to eligible renewable energy resource projects currently under contract are handled through the procurement process as well as being addressed in the commercial terms. Noble Solutions treats compliance with the RPS program as a corporate cornerstone by being a part of the California renewable energy policy “solution.”

6.5 Noble Solutions provides its quantitative response to Section 6.5 of the ACR in the attached renewable net short (“RNS”) template (Appendix A).

Response to Items 6.7 and 6.8

6.7 As stated in response to 6.1 Noble Solutions does not procure its RPS products on the basis of the deliverability characteristics specified (i.e., peaking,

dispatchable, baseload, firm, as-available, locational preferences) or additional factors, such as ability and/or willingness to be curtailed, operational flexibility, etc. Rather, Noble Solutions designs its bid solicitation protocol around the Category specifications and RPS portfolio ratios set forth in PU Code Sec. 399.16, while seeking the least-cost solution within these constraints and engaging in longer term RPS product acquisition as commercially expedient opportunities develop.

Noble Solutions' bid solicitation protocol, solicitation process and solicitation schedule are reflected in an informal exercise in which the commercial team responsible for RPS procurement makes and receives commercial overtures from the RPS market participants while calculating the forecast Net Short position. This is an ongoing, near-daily commercial exercise. The RPS market participants include, but are not limited to, brokers, developers, utilities, and end-use customers. The RPS market has sufficiently evolved that most parties engaged in selling RPS products have one or more preferred acceptable agreements. Therefore, Noble Solutions does not have a preferred pro forma agreement(s) to include in the 2016 RPS Procurement Plan.

At this time, Noble Solutions has no plans to sell eligible renewable energy.

Noble Solutions has no comments to offer at this time on the utility's least-cost-best-fit (LCBF) methodology nor does Noble Solutions intend to employ the renewable auction mechanism (RAM) procurement process.

6.8 Noble Solutions will consider price adjustments, potentially incorporating them into contracts for RPS-eligible projects with online dates occurring more than 24 months after the contract execution date. However, to-date, Noble Solutions

has not contracted with RPS-eligible projects with online dates occurring more than 24 months after the contract execution date because of the increased development and delivery risks associated with the length of the forward timeline and the relative short-term nature of our customer contractual terms.

Noble Solutions does not have a captive customer base and references to “ratepayers” are inapplicable to its business. Thus, Noble Solutions respectfully declines to discuss how price adjustments, as contemplated above, will maximize “ratepayer” value and minimize “ratepayer” risk.

Response to Items 6.12 through 6.14

6.12 There are no important changes between the 2015 and 2016 RPS Procurement Plans. Noble Solutions continues to layer-in forward RPS procurement to minimize under-procurement while managing the portfolio risk associated with customer migration.

6.13 Noble Solutions’ redlined copy of its RPS Procurement Plan is attached hereto as Appendix B.

6.14 Noble Solutions contracts for the resources needed to meet its RPS procurement requirements, as described above. While Noble Solutions may contract for some or all of the output from the RPS-eligible facilities, it does not physically or contractually own and/or operate any of the resources under contract.

For PCC 1 and 2 products, the energy is delivered by the supplier pursuant to the rules applicable to the balancing authority and in accordance with Public

Utility Code § 399.16(a)(1)(A) and § 399.16(a)(1)(B). Noble Solutions does not have any responsibility for the safe transmission of energy. In the case of PCC 3 procurement, there are no safety concerns as there is no energy conveyance.

The owners and/or operators of the RPS-eligible resources have the responsibility for the operation of and all safety considerations associated with the operation of their facilities under applicable laws, regulations, contracts and tariffs. Noble Solutions has no responsibility or liability for the safe operation of the facility(ies) or for any other safety considerations associated with the operation of resources relied upon to meet its wholesale contracts requirements.

Therefore, to the best of its knowledge, there are no safety considerations for Noble Solutions to address in its 2016 RPS Plan.

DATED: October 19, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Greg Bass

Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC

401 West "A" Street, Suite 500

San Diego, CA 92101

(619) 684-8199

gbass@noblesolutions.com

APPENDIX A

RENEWABLE NET SHORT TEMPLATE FOR NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC

NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC
RENEWABLE NET SHORT CALCULATIONS PUBLIC APPENDIX A

Variable	Calculation	Item	Deficit from RPS prior to Reporting Year	2011 Actuals	2012 Actuals	2013 Actuals	2011-2013	2014 Actuals	2015 Actuals	2016 Forecast	2014-2016	2017 Forecast	2018 Forecast	2019 Forecast
		Forecast Year		-	-	-	CP1	-	-	-	CP2	-	-	-
Annual RPS Requirement														
A		Bundled Retail Sales Forecast (LTPP)		7,108	7,533	7,087	21,729	6,728						
B		RPS Procurement Quantity Requirement (%)		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	21.7%	23.3%	25.0%	23.3%	27.0%	29.0%	31.0%
C	A*B	Gross RPS Procurement Quantity Requirement (GWh)		1,422	1,507	1,417	4,346	1,460						
D		Voluntary Margin of Over-procurement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E	C+D	Net RPS Procurement Need (GWh)		1,422	1,507	1,417	4,346	1,460						
RPS-Eligible Procurement														
Fa		Risk-Adjusted RECs from Online Generation		1,850	1,577	1,505	4,932	1,203	1,450	1,052	3,705	1,154	1,000	252
Faa		Forecast Failure Rate for Online Generation (%)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fb		Risk-Adjusted RECs from RPS Facilities in Development		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fbb		Forecast Failure Rate for RPS Facilities in Development (%)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fc		Pre-Approved Generic RECs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fd		Executed REC Sales		1,850	1,577	1,505	4,932	1,203	1,450	1,052	3,705	1,154	1,000	252
F	Fa + Fb +Fc - Fd	Total RPS Eligible Procurement (GWh)		1,850	1,577	1,505	4,932	1,203	1,450	1,052	3,705	1,154	1,000	252
F0		Category 0 RECs		1,840	1,029	991	3,860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F1		Category 1 RECs			95	392	487	715	874	992	2,581	1,094	1,000	252
F2		Category 2 RECs			6	121	127	381	349	60	790	60	-	-
F3		Category 3 RECs		10	447	1	458	106	227	-	334	-	-	-
Gross RPS Position (Physical Net Short)														
Ga	F-E	Annual Gross RPS Position (GWh)		1,850	1,577	1,505	4,932	1,203	1,450	1,052	3,705	1,154	1,000	252
Gb	F/A	Annual Gross RPS Position (%)		26%	21%	21%	23%	18%						
Application of Bank														
Ha	H - Hc (from previous year)	Existing Banked RECs above the PQR	140	140	568	638	638	726						
Hb		RECs above the PQR added to Bank		-	-	-	-	-						
Hc		Non-bankable RECs above the PQR		429	70	87	586	(257)						
H	Ha+Hb	Gross Balance of RECs above the PQR	140	568	638	726	726	726						
Ia		Planned Application of RECs above the PQR towards RPS Compliance	-	-	-	-	-	257						
Ib		Planned Sales of RECs above the PQR	-	-	-	-	-	74						
J	H-Ia-Ib	Net Balance of RECs above the PQR	140	568	638	726	726	395						
J0		Category 0 RECs	140	140	140	140	140	140						
J1		Category 1 RECs		429	499	586	586	255						
J2		Category 2 RECs		-	-	-	-	-						
Expiring Contracts														
K		RECs from Expiring RPS Contracts		1,000	1,017	1,266		333	885	947		60	1,292	222
Net RPS Position (Optimized Net Short)														
La	Ga + Ia - Ib - Hc	Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (GWh)		1,422	1,507	1,417	4,346	1,386						
Lb	(F + Ia - Ib - Hc)/ A	Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (%)		20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.60%						

Note: Fields in grey are potected as Confidential under CPUC Confidentiality Rules, Values are shown in GWhs

2020 Forecast	2017-2020	2021 Forecast	2022 Forecast	2023 Forecast	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	2027 Forecast	2028 Forecast	2029 Forecast	2030 Forecast	2031 Forecast	2032 Forecast	2033 Forecast	2034 Forecast	2035 Forecast	2036 Forecast
-	CP3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4,800		4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	45.0%	45.0%	45.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
1,584		1,584	1,584	1,584	1,920	1,920	1,920	2,160	2,160	2,160	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,584		1,584	1,584	1,584	1,920	1,920	1,920	2,160	2,160	2,160	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
32	2,438	32	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	2,438	32	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	2,438	32	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
32	2,378	32	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	2,438	32	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1%		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
(1,354)		(2,906)	(4,459)	(6,036)	(7,614)	(9,529)	(11,443)	(13,363)	(15,523)	(17,683)	(19,843)	(22,243)	(24,643)	(27,043)	(29,443)	(31,843)	(34,243)
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(1,552)		(1,552)	(1,577)	(1,579)	(1,915)	(1,915)	(1,920)	(2,160)	(2,160)	(2,160)	(2,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)	(2,400)
(2,906)		(4,459)	(6,036)	(7,614)	(9,529)	(11,443)	(13,363)	(15,523)	(17,683)	(19,843)	(22,243)	(24,643)	(27,043)	(29,443)	(31,843)	(34,243)	(36,643)
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(2,906)		(4,459)	(6,036)	(7,614)	(9,529)	(11,443)	(13,363)	(15,523)	(17,683)	(19,843)	(22,243)	(24,643)	(27,043)	(29,443)	(31,843)	(34,243)	(36,643)
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		154	2	-	-	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32		32	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0.66%		0.66%	0.15%	0.11%	0.11%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Facility Name	Technology	Contract Expiration Date	Expected Annual Generation (GWh)	Location	PCC Classification
Calabasas Landfill Gas-to-Energy Facility	Biomethane: Landfill gas	6/30/2013	49.9	Los Angeles	0
Spring Canyon Energy, Peetz Table Wind Energy Center, Northern Colorado Wind I & II, Logan Wind, Twin Buttes, Cedar Creek	Wind	12/31/2011	250	Peetz; Peetz;Logan; Logan; Logan; Lamar; Weld,	0
Spring Canyon Energy, Peetz Table Wind Energy Center, Northern Colorado Wind I & II, Logan Wind, Twin Buttes, Cedar Creek	Wind	12/31/2011	250	Peetz; Peetz;Logan; Logan; Logan; Lamar; Weld,	0
Spring Canyon Energy, Peetz Table Wind Energy Center, Northern Colorado Wind I & II, Logan Wind, Twin Buttes, Cedar Creek	Wind	12/31/2011	250	Peetz; Peetz;Logan; Logan; Logan; Lamar; Weld,	0
Spring Canyon Energy, Peetz Table Wind Energy Center, Northern Colorado Wind I & II, Logan Wind, Twin Buttes, Cedar Creek	Wind	12/31/2011	250	Peetz; Peetz;Logan; Logan; Logan; Lamar; Weld,	0
Spring Canyon Energy, Peetz Table Wind Energy Center, Northern Colorado Wind I & II, Logan Wind, Twin Buttes, Cedar Creek	Wind	12/31/2012	1017	Peetz; Peetz;Logan; Logan; Logan; Lamar; Weld,	0
Spring Canyon Energy, Peetz Table Wind Energy Center, Northern Colorado Wind I & II, Logan Wind, Twin Buttes, Cedar Creek, Cedar Creek II, Cedar Point, Limon, Limon II	Wind	12/31/2013	1017	Peetz; Peetz;Logan; Logan; Logan; Lamar; Weld; Weld; Lincoln; Limon	0
Angels, Murphey	Small hydroelectric	12/31/2019	1.5	Calaveras	0
El Dorado Powerhouse (Akin Powerhouse)	Small hydroelectric	N/A	10.141	El Dorado	3
Angels, Murphey	Small hydroelectric	12/31/2013	15	Calaveras	1
Angels, Murphey	Small hydroelectric	9/30/2022	1.5	Calaveras	1
Manzana Wind	Wind	4/8/2013	100	Kern	1
Joint Water Pollution Control Plant - Total Energy Facility, Puente Hills Gas-To-Energy Facility - Phase II	Biomethane	12/31/2014	5	Los Angeles	1
Red Mesa Wind	Wind	12/31/2014	212.281	Cibola	1
Juniper Canyon, Leaning Juniper II	Wind	12/31/2016	15	Klickitat, Gilliam	2
Harvest Wind Project,Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Wheat Field Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2016	50	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Gilliam	1
Harvest Wind Project,Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Quality Wind Project	Wind	12/31/2013	33	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Peace River District, B.C.	1
Red Mesa Wind	Wind	evergreen mnth-to-mnth	15	Cibola	1
Joint Water Pollution Control Plant - Total Energy Facility, Puente Hills Gas-To-Energy Facility - Phase II, Palos Verdes Gas-to-Energy Facility - GEN 1	Biomethane	N/A	150	Los Angeles	3
Red Mesa Wind, Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	N/A	261.404	Cibola, Umatilla	3
Joint Water Pollution Control Plant - Total Energy Facility, Puente Hills Gas-To-Energy Facility - Phase II	Biomethane	N/A	35	Los Angeles	3
Geysers Power Plant - Calpine Geothermal Unit 5/6, Geysers Power Plant - Calpine Geothermal Unit 7-8	Geothermal	12/31/2013	49.98	Sonoma, Sonoma	1
Harvest Wind Project,Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Quality Wind Project, Cape Scott Wind Farm	Wind	7/31/2014	55.44	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Gilliam	2

Calpine Geothermal Unit 5/6, Calpine Geothermal Unit 7-8, Calpine Geothermal Unit 11, AES Delano, Inc., Otay Landfill Gas LLC (Otay I), Otay Landfill Gas LLC (Otay II), San Marcos Energy LLC, Olivenhain Municipal Water District, MM San Diego Energy (Miramar), Otay Landfill Gas LLC (Otay III), Blue Lake Power LLC, Ocotillo Express LLC, Otay Landfill Gas LLC (Otay V), Otay Landfill Gas LLC (Otay VI)	Geothermal, Geothermal, Geotherm	12/31/2015	200	Sonoma, Sonoma, Sonoma, Delano, San Diego, San Diego, San Diego, San Diego, San Diego, San Diego, Humboldt, Imperial, San Diego, San Diego	1
Harvest Wind Project, Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Wheat Field Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2015	150	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Peace River District, B.C.	2
Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	3/31/2014	50	Umatilla	2
Alta Wind X Energy Center, Alta Wind XI Energy Center	Wind	12/31/2015	150	Kern, Kern	1
Calabasas Landfill Gas-to-Energy Facility	Biomethane: Landfill gas	12/31/2016	49.9	Los Angeles	1
Joint Water Pollution Control Plant - Total Energy Facility, Puente Hills Gas-To-Energy Facility - Phase II	Biomethane	12/31/2016	2.5	Los Angeles	1
Harvest Wind Project, Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Wheat Field Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2013	1	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Peace River District, B.C.	3
Angels, Murphey	Small hydroelectric	12/31/2015	15	Calaveras	1
Rising Tree Wind Farm I, Rising Tree Wind Farm II	Wind	12/31/2018	100	Kern	1
Red Mesa Wind	Wind	evergreen mnth-to-mnth	20	Cibola	2
Rising Tree Wind Farm I, Rising Tree Wind Farm II	Wind	12/31/2018	56	Kern	1
Red Mesa Wind	Wind	12/31/2014	10	Cibola	2
Foot Creek 1, Rolling Hills, Seven Mile Hill I, Harvest Wind Project, Top of the World, Middle Fork Irrigation District Hydro System, Meadow Creek Project Company	Wind	N/A	20	Albany, Converse, Carbon, Klickitat, Converse, Hood River, Bonneville	2
Red Mesa Wind	Wind	3/1/2015	75	Cibola	3
Ridgetop Energy, LLC (I), Cameron Ridge, Ridgetop Energy, LLC (II)	Wind	12/31/2016	50	Kern, Kern, Kern	1
Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Quality Wind Project, Cape Scott Wind Farm, Nippon Paper Co-Generation	Wind	12/31/2017	30	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Gilliam, Clallam	2
Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Quality Wind Project, Cape Scott Wind Farm, Nippon Paper Co-Generation	Wind	12/31/2017	30	Klickitat, B.C., Klickitat, Gilliam, Clallam	2
Red Mesa Wind, Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	4/15/2015	20	Chibola, Umatilla	2
RE Astoria, RE Astoria 2, RE Tranquility	Solar PV	12/31/2018	340	Kern, Kern, Fresno	1
Foot Creek 1, Rolling Hills, Seven Mile Hill I, Harvest Wind Project, Top of the World, Middle Fork Irrigation District Hydro System, Meadow Creek Project Company	Wind	N/A	31.358	Albany, Converse, Carbon, Klickitat, Converse, Hood River, Bonneville	3
Red Mesa Wind, Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	4/15/2015	15	Chibola, Umatilla	2
Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Nippon Paper Co-Generation, Quality Wind Project, Cape Scott Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2015	150	B.C., Klickitat, Clallam, B.C., B.C.	1
Mesa Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2016	12	Riverside	1
Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	4/15/2015	10	Umatilla	2
Community Renewable Energy Services Inc., Clearwater Paper	Biomass	12/31/2016	150	Tulare, New Perce	3
Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	4/15/2016	30	Umatilla	2
Community Renewable Energy Services Inc., Clearwater Paper	Biomass	12/31/2016	77.265	Tulare, New Perce	3
Vansycle II Wind Energy Center	Wind	4/15/2016	20	Umatilla	2
Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Nippon Paper Co-Generation, Quality Wind Project, Cape Scott Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2016	75	B.C., Klickitat, Clallam, B.C., B.C.	1

Copper Mountain Solar 4	Solar PV	12/31/2019	220	Clark	1
Biglow Canyon Wind Farm, Tucannon River Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2015	75	Sherman, Dayton	2
Joint Water Pollution Control Plant - Total Energy Facility, Puente Hills Gas-To-Energy Facility - Phase II, Calabasas Landfill Gas-to-Energy Facility	Biomethane	12/31/2025	55	Los Angeles	1
Biglow Canyon Wind Farm, Tucannon River Wind Farm	Wind	12/31/2015	25	Sherman, Dayton	2
RE Astoria	Solar PV	12/31/2018	695.814	Kern, Kern, Fresno	1
RE Astoria 2	Solar PV	12/31/2021	154.388	Kern, Kern, Fresno	1
Dokie Wind Energy Project, H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant, Nippon Paper Co-Generation, Quality Wind Project, Cape Scott Wind Farm, Meikle Wind	Wind	12/31/2016	200	B.C., Klickitat, Clallam, B.C., B.C., B.C.	1
Angels, Murphey	Small hydroelectric	12/31/2016	15	Calaveras	1
Coso Finance Partners (Navy I), Coso Power Developers, Coso Energy Developers, Terra-Gen Dixie Valley, LLC, Alta Wind Units I thru V and VIII, Mammoth G2, Puente Hills Landfill Gas-to-Energy Facility, Mountain View I & II, Mustang Hills, LLC, Pinyon Pines Wind I & II, Cameron Ridge, Windstream 6039, Windstream 6040, Zond Systems Inc. (VG #3)	Geothermal, Wind	12/31/2016	100	Little Lake, Fallon, Kern, Mono, L.A., Riverside, Kern,	1
Coso Finance Partners (Navy I), Coso Power Developers, Coso Energy Developers, Terra-Gen Dixie Valley, LLC, Alta Wind Units I thru V and VIII, Mammoth G2, Puente Hills Landfill Gas-to-Energy Facility, Mountain View I & II, Mustang Hills, LLC, Pinyon Pines Wind I & II, Cameron Ridge, Windstream 6039, Windstream 6040, Zond Systems Inc. (VG #3)	Geothermal, Wind	12/31/2018	100	Little Lake, Fallon, Kern, Mono, L.A., Riverside, Kern,	1
Golden Hills Wind	Wind	12/31/2016	100	Alameda	1

APPENDIX B

REDLINED COPY OF NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC 2016 RPS PROCUREMENT PLAN

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.	R. 15-02-020
--	--------------

Formatted Table

**NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC
~~2015~~2016 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD
PROCUREMENT PLAN**

Greg Bass
Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC
401 West "A" Street, Suite 500
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 684-8199
gbass@noblesolutions.com

Formatted Table

~~July 31, 2015~~
August 8th, 2016

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.	R. 15-02-020
--	--------------

Formatted Table

**NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC
~~2015~~2016 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD
PROCUREMENT PLAN**

In accordance with the Assigned Commissioner’s Ruling¹ (“ACR”) and the authority cited therein, Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC, (“Noble Solutions”) hereby submits its ~~2015~~2016 Renewables Portfolio Standard (“RPS”) Procurement Plan. In fulfilling its reporting obligations, Noble Solutions responds herein to Sections 6.1 through 6.~~5~~, 6.~~7~~, 6.~~12~~8, and 6.~~12 through 6~~.14 of the ACR. ~~Additionally, Noble Solutions addresses Sections 6.13 and 6.15.~~

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

¹ Assigned ~~Commissioner’s Revised~~Commissioner and Assigned Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Identifying Issues And Schedule Of Review For ~~2015~~2016 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plans, Rulemaking 15-02-020, May ~~28, 2015~~17, 2016.

Response to Items 6.1 through 6.65

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

~~6.1 Provide a written description assessing annual and multi-year portfolio supplies and demand in relation to RPS requirements, the RPS program, and the RPS program's overall goals to determine the retail seller's optimal mix of eligible renewable energy resources.~~

~~The assessment should consider, at a minimum, a 20-year time frame with a detailed 10-year planning horizon that takes into account both portfolio supplies and demand. This written description must include the retail seller's need for RPS resources with specific deliverability characteristics, such as, peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, and as-available capacity as well as any additional factors, such as ability and/or willingness to be curtailed, operational flexibility, etc.~~

~~This written description must also explain how the proposed renewable energy portfolio will align with expected load curves and durations, as well as how it optimizes cost, value and risk for the ratepayer. Where applicable, the assessment should also identify and incorporate impacts of overall energy portfolio and system requirements (not just RPS portfolio requirements), recent legislation, other Commission proceedings (e.g. R.13-12-010, the long-term procurement plans proceeding), other agencies requirements, and other policies or issues that would impact RPS demand and procurement.~~

~~The written description should also explicitly and specifically address, both qualitatively and quantitatively, to the extent possible, how the buyer intends to increase the diversity in its portfolio overall, to address issues of grid integration, potential for overgeneration, and ratepayer value.~~

~~Additionally, the assessment should describe and incorporate RPS lessons learned over the past year, including RPS trends and potential future trends. Lastly, it must also explain how the quantitative analysis provided in response to section 6.5 supports the assessment.~~

6.1 Noble Solutions has been serving retail load in California since 1998, and it has consistently pursued a strategy of matching its procurement of all electric products needed to serve its customers with its customers' needs. Noble Solutions, like other Electric Service Providers ("ESPs"), provides electric products and services to retail customers under contractual arrangements that are typically over terms that average anywhere between 12 to 24 months. There are sound business reasons for the relatively short-term nature of these agreements. One of these reasons is that during the term of a retail energy services contract, there is substantial credit risk, borne solely by the ESP. Moreover, the very Noble Solutions' customers ~~Noble Solutions serves~~ demand these relatively short-term arrangements for reasons as varied as the customers Noble Solutions serves. Consequently, Noble Solutions meets its procurement obligations with products that match, as closely as possible, the forecasted customer loads and contract durations. ~~However, to the extent that certain customers are willing to step~~

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

~~forward and contract for RPS products that extend past the typical contract term and as longer term RPS opportunities develop, Noble Solutions has been engaging in longer term RPS contracts with RPS developers.~~

Noble Solutions' RPS procurement planning for the next 20 years involves the assumption that Noble Solutions will retain most of its current retail customers. ~~Therefore, the optimal mix of RPS procurement will weigh~~ This strategy creates supply exposure to customer volatility and may result in over- procurement at the conclusion of a compliance period. Historically RPS procurement has been weighted toward longer-term in-state RPS procurement when available, and shorter-term out-of-state imported (hourly basis, source-to-sink) RPS procurement, backfilled with Category 2 firm-and-shaped imported RPS, with Category 3 unbundled RPS RECs to balance out the compliance period's procurement. Under expected market conditions over the next 20 years, this strategy will likely result in Noble Solutions procuring the least-expensive RPS product (Category 3 unbundled RECs) to the maximum percentage allowed for the compliance period delivered under short-term contracts; then procuring at least the minimum amount of RPS Category 1 (direct delivery) product under short- and medium-term contracts; then meeting the residual RPS compliance obligations with RPS Category 2 (firmed and shaped) procurement.

Noble Solutions constantly reviews its market positions to optimize its portfolio of all electricity products, both from renewable and conventional sources. The amendments to the RPS policy contained in SB 2 (1X) and SB 350 have not changed Noble Solutions' basic procurement strategy. ~~The~~ However, the new RPS program ~~has requirements are increasingly prescriptive and have~~ added significant complexity ~~and detailed requirements~~ with respect to the various kinds of RPS

products and their relative percentages within the RPS portfolio. Noble Solutions is evaluating the most appropriate means of responding to these prescriptive requirements including recently enacted SB 350 which includes significant changes to the required quantities of long-term RPS contracting and the complexities they present in the context of its current procurement strategy.

Noble Solutions does not procure its RPS products on the basis of the deliverability characteristics specified (i.e., peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, as-available) or additional factors, such as ability and/or willingness to be curtailed, operational flexibility, etc. Rather, as noted above, Noble Solutions designs its procurement objectives around the Category specifications and RPS portfolio ratios set forth in PU Code Sec. 399.16, while seeking the least-cost solution within these constraints and engaging in longer term RPS product acquisition as commercially expedient opportunities develop.

~~The ACR requires that RPS Procurement Plans address “both a 33 percent by 2020 requirement and a 40 percent by 2024 requirement.”² As noted above, Noble Solutions’ planning and procurement processes have not significantly changed as a result of new RPS requirements established by SB 2 (1X). Furthermore, Noble Solutions’ planning and procurement processes will not vary regardless of whether a 33 percent by 2020 or 40 percent by 2024 requirement is in place.~~

~~**6.2** — Provide a written status update on the development schedule of all eligible renewable energy resources currently under contract but not yet delivering generation. This written status update should differentiate status updates based on whether projects are pre-construction, in construction, or post-construction. The status updates provided in the written description must be reflected in the quantitative analysis provided in response to section 6.5, below. Given this analysis, discuss how the status updates will impact the retail seller’s net short and its procurement decisions for the next two years and on a 10-year planning horizon.~~

~~Noble Solutions currently has two~~**6.2** Noble Solutions currently has three

² ACR, p. 5.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

RPS supply contracts sourced from facilities still under development, therefore the quantities received under each will be contingent on the facilities successfully achieving commercial operation. One contract delivers from ~~late~~ 2016 through ~~2019 and 2018~~, the second contract delivers from 2017 through ~~2018~~. ~~There~~ ~~2019~~ and the third from 2017 through 2018. Each of the three contracts are sourced from an individual facility. One facility has completed construction and is in precommercial operations. The other two facilities ~~supplying the first contract and one facility supplying the second contract~~. All three facilities are expected to close financing by the end of the third quarter of 2015 before commencing construction. Noble Solutions' status update will not significantly vary if a 33 percent by 2020 or 40 percent by 2024 requirement is in place. ~~complete construction later this year.~~

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

6.3 ~~Describe in writing any potential issues~~ Noble Solutions has generally found that could delay RPS compliance, including, but not limited to inadequate transmission capacity, delayed substation construction, permitting, financing, unanticipated curtailment, market participants develop projects and products necessary to meet the relationship, if any, to project development delays, reduced generation, and compliance delays. Describe state's RPS requirements. Nevertheless, there are occasional issues with whether the ~~steps taken to account for and minimize these potential compliance delays. The potential compliance delays included in the written description must be reflected in the quantitative analysis provided in~~ Commission will approve of the market's response to ~~section 6.5. Given this analysis, discuss how the potential compliance delays will impact the retail seller's~~ new RPS net short and its procurement decisions.

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Not Italic

~~There~~. For example, there is some degree of regulatory uncertainty when Noble Solutions purchases RPS energy ~~from the investor-owned utilities. that has a~~ condition precedent related to regulatory approvals. The regulatory process is time consuming and Commission approval is not certain. In order to counter this risk, Noble Solutions attempts to make these kinds of RPS purchases far enough in advance of the close of the respective compliance period such that if a negative

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

regulatory outcome occurs, there will likely still be time enough for Noble Solutions to procure from other sources to meet the compliance period obligation. ~~These issues could be compounded if a 40 percent by 2024 requirement is implemented, as additional procurement would need to occur. Nevertheless, Noble Solutions anticipates that even under a 40 percent by 2024 RPS program, it will undertake procurement to ensure sufficient time to meet procurement obligations.~~

6.4 — Provide a written assessment of the risk in the RPS portfolio in relation to RPS compliance requirements. Risk assessment should describe risk factors such as those described above regarding compliance delays, as well as, but not limited to, the following: lower than expected generation, variable generation, resource availability (e.g., biofuel supply, water, etc.), load changes, and impacts to eligible renewable energy resource projects currently under contract. The risk assessment provided in the written description must be reflected in the quantitative analysis provided in response to section 6.5. Given this analysis, discuss how the risk assessment will impact the retail seller's net short and its procurement decisions. The written assessment must explain how quantitative analysis provided in response to section 6.5 supports this response.

6.4 As discussed in the response to Section 6.2, Noble Solutions has entered into ~~two~~three RPS supply agreements that rely on new projects achieving commercial operation. The volumes to be supplied from these contracts are not significant for Compliance Period ("CP") 2, but do represent a meaningful portion of Noble Solutions' RPS expected supply for CP3.

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Noble Solutions is confident the volumes under these contracts will be fully delivered. First, the facilities supplying these contracts are under Commission-approved long-term contracts with investor-owned utilities ~~following the pursuant to similar~~ contract terms ~~with Noble Solutions, which provides.~~ The utility contracts provide financing certainty to ~~construct~~the construction of the project. Second, all of the facilities are solar projects eligible for the 30% ITC and therefore have an enormous financial incentive to achieve commercial operation before 2017: ~~when current tax advantages expire.~~ Third, ~~both of~~ the suppliers are among the best-capitalized and most experienced developers of renewable projects, and

have already brought utility-scale renewable projects to successful operation in California.

When contracting, Noble Solutions considers the risk of lower than expected generation by incorporating that risk into the commercial terms of its contracts. Other risks, such as variable generation, resource availability (e.g., biofuel supply, water, etc.), load changes, and impacts to eligible renewable energy resource projects currently under contract are handled through the procurement process as well as being addressed in the commercial terms. Noble Solutions ~~takes~~treats compliance with the RPS program as a corporate cornerstone by being a part of the California renewable energy policy “solution.” ~~Though a 40 percent by 2024 requirement will likely exacerbate these risks in comparison to a 33 percent by 2020 requirement, Noble Solutions does not anticipate a higher procurement target serving as an obstacle to complying with the RPS program.~~

~~6.5 — In addition to the written descriptive responses to Sections 6.1 through 6.4, provide quantitative data, methodologies, and calculations relied upon to assess the retail seller’s RPS portfolio needs and RPS procurement net short. This quantitative analysis must take into account, where appropriate, the quantitative discussion requirement by Sections 6.1–6.4, above. Any RPS-eligible procurement that has or will occur outside of the RPS program should also be included. As stated above, the portfolio assessment should be for a minimum of 20 years in the future. The responses must be clear regarding the quantitative progress made towards RPS requirements and the specific risks to the electrical corporation’s RPS procurement portfolio. Risks may include, but are not limited to, project development, regulatory, and market risks. The quantitative response must be provided in an Excel spreadsheet based on the most recently directed renewable net short methodology.~~

6.5 Noble Solutions provides its quantitative response to Section 6.5 of the ACR in the attached renewable net short (“RNS”) template (Appendix A). ~~Under a 40% RPS by the year 2024 scenario the current RNS template results will remain the same, but with higher RPS targets and therefore larger RNS showings.~~

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

6.6 — ~~Section 399.13(a)(4)(D) provides, in part, that the Commission shall adopt, by rulemaking, “[a]n appropriate minimum margin of procurement above the minimum procurement level necessary to comply with the renewable portfolio standard to mitigate the risk that renewable projects planned or under contract are delayed or canceled.”~~

~~— This ruling directs PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to identify in their proposed 2015 RPS Procurement Plans the assumed minimum margin of procurement above the minimum procurement level necessary to comply with the RPS program to mitigate the risk that renewable projects under contract are delayed or terminated.~~

~~— Each proposed 2015 RPS Procurement Plan shall include a methodology and inputs regarding the utility’s proposed minimum margin of over-procurement metric. The methodology should be representative of and consistent with the utility’s inputs and assumptions in Section 6.5. Also, the metric should be used to calculate the utility’s procurement needs pursuant to Section 6.5. Additionally, use of any sensitivities or scenarios should be described. If the utility’s assumed minimum margin of over-procurement is not used to calculate a utility’s net short provided in response to Section 6.5, then the utility should clearly describe the reasons and any assumptions or other additional methodologies used to calculate the utility’s proposed over-procurement. Reasons and assumptions should be supported with quantitative information to the extent possible.~~

~~— Noble Solutions is cost constrained in its portfolio optimization strategy by competitive pressures. As other ESP competitors offer RPS to direct access customers, Noble Solutions is driven to continue to find ways to minimize its RPS procurement costs while still meeting California’s RPS obligations. The ultimate metric Noble Solutions uses to determine if its portfolio optimization strategy is effective is whether Noble Solutions can retain and renew current customers and win new business.~~

~~— Noble Solutions does not enjoy the benefit of guaranteed cost recovery; therefore the greatest risks to Noble Solutions’ RPS portfolio optimization strategy are 1) over-procurement of RPS energy when prices are declining over time; leaving Noble Solutions with an uncompetitive product offering; 2) under-procurement of RPS energy when RPS supplies are dwindling and prices are increasing, creating the possibility of an uncompetitive product offering and the risk of non-compliance with RPS requirements; and 3) the status of the federal renewable electricity tax credits. If the programs expire or are renewed by Congress, Noble Solutions expects RPS energy prices to react accordingly.~~

~~— Noble Solutions intends to continue to make cost effective RPS procurement decisions, and seize fleeting opportunities as they may arise. This activity will predominately occur with Portfolio Content Category 1 RPS energy. Opportunities to match longer term RPS purchases with longer term customer sales will be pursued whenever it is cost effective to do so and is in our customers’ best interest. This will not change whether a 33 percent RPS by 2020 or a 40 percent RPS by 2024 procurement obligation exists.~~

6.12 — In D.14-11-042, the Commission stated that “PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E shall provide a specific assessment

of the offers and contracted projects in Imperial Valley region in future RPS Procurement Plans filed with the Commission pursuant to §§ 399.11 et seq. until directed otherwise.”

While restating this directive here is not necessary, we do so to further support our commitment to the continued monitoring of the utilities’ procurement activities in the Imperial Valley area and renewable projects’ utilization of the Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project in recognition of the Commission’s commitment that Sunrise Powerlink is “used efficiently, equitably and wisely.” This directive refers to the Commission’s prior determinations that granted SDG&E a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Sunrise Transmission project and directed the Commission to consider several proposals so that the renewable resources that are facilitated by Sunrise are developed on a timely basis.

The Commission’s commitment to this matter was most recently reaffirmed in the decision accepting the 2014 RPS Procurement Plans.

Specifically, we direct PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to report on the Imperial Valley results from the 2014 solicitation, any CPUC-approved RPS power purchase agreements for projects in the Imperial Valley that are under development, and any RPS projects in the Imperial Valley that have recently achieved commercial operation.

~~—— It should be noted that the language of paragraph 6.12 only instructs “PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E” to provide the requested information. Accordingly, Noble Solutions does not provide a response to 6.12.~~

~~6.13 — A statement identifying and summarizing the important changes between the 2014 and 2015 RPS Procurement Plans must be included. This summary should not be a reprint of the two plans with strike-out and underlined inserts. In addition to identifying and summarizing the important changes, the plan should also include an explanation and justification of reasonableness for each important change from 2014 to 2015.~~

Response to Items 6.7 and 6.8

6.7 As stated in response to 6.1 Noble Solutions does not procure its RPS products on the basis of the deliverability characteristics specified (i.e., peaking, dispatchable, baseload, firm, as-available, locational preferences) or additional factors, such as ability and/or willingness to be curtailed, operational flexibility, etc. Rather, Noble Solutions designs its bid solicitation protocol around the Category specifications and RPS portfolio ratios set forth in PU Code Sec. 399.16, while seeking the least-cost solution within these constraints and engaging in longer term RPS product acquisition as commercially expedient opportunities develop.

Noble Solutions’ bid solicitation protocol, solicitation process and solicitation schedule are reflected in an informal exercise in which the commercial team responsible for RPS procurement makes and receives commercial overtures

from the RPS market participants while calculating the forecast Net Short position. This is an ongoing, near-daily commercial exercise. The RPS market participants include, but are not limited to, brokers, developers, utilities, and end-use customers. The RPS market has sufficiently evolved that most parties engaged in selling RPS products have one or more preferred acceptable agreements. Therefore, Noble Solutions does not have a preferred pro forma agreement(s) to include in the 2016 RPS Procurement Plan.

At this time, Noble Solutions has no plans to sell eligible renewable energy.

Noble Solutions has no comments to offer at this time on the utility's least-cost-best-fit (LCBF) methodology nor does Noble Solutions intend to employ the renewable auction mechanism (RAM) procurement process.

6.8 Noble Solutions will consider price adjustments, potentially incorporating them into contracts for RPS-eligible projects with online dates occurring more than 24 months after the contract execution date. However, to-date, Noble Solutions has not contracted with RPS-eligible projects with online dates occurring more than 24 months after the contract execution date because of the increased development and delivery risks associated with the length of the forward timeline and the relative short-term nature of our customer contractual terms.

Noble Solutions does not have a captive customer base and references to "ratepayers" are inapplicable to its business. Thus, Noble Solutions respectfully declines to discuss how price adjustments, as contemplated above, will maximize "ratepayer" value and minimize "ratepayer" risk.

Response to Items 6.12 through 6.14

6.12 There are no important changes between the ~~2014~~2015 and 20152016 RPS Procurement Plans. Noble Solutions continues to layer-in forward RPS procurement to minimize under-procurement while managing the portfolio risk associated with customer migration.

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

6.14 — A version of the 2015 RPS Procurement Plan that is “redlined” to identify the changes from the 2014 plan must be included with the 2015 RPS Procurement Plans. The IOUs must provide a redlined copy for the Commission’s Energy Division Staff, the ALJ, and any party who requests a copy. (This is separate from the Important Changes item above.)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

6.13 Noble Solutions’ redlined copy of its RPS Procurement Plan is attached hereto as Appendix B.

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

6.15 — As stated in D-11-11-024, all entities filing RPS Procurement Plans must incorporate a section on safety considerations.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Widow/Orphan control

6.14 Noble Solutions contracts for the resources needed to meet its RPS procurement requirements, as described above. While Noble Solutions may contract for some or all of the output from the RPS-eligible facilities, it does not physically or contractually own and/or operate any of the resources under contract.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

For PCC 1 and 2 products, the energy is delivered by the supplier pursuant to the rules applicable to the balancing authority and in accordance with Public Utility Code § 399.16(a)(1)(A) and § 399.16(a)(1)(B). Noble Solutions does not have any responsibility for the safe transmission of energy. In the case of PCC 3 procurement, there ~~can be~~are no safety concerns as there is no energy conveyance.

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

The owners and/or operators of the RPS-eligible resources have the responsibility for the operation of and all safety considerations associated with the operation of their facilities under ~~the~~ applicable laws-, regulations, contracts and tariffs. Noble Solutions has no responsibility or liability for the safe operation of the facility(ies) or for any other safety considerations associated with the operation of ~~those~~ resources ~~used~~ relied upon to meet its wholesale contracts requirements.

Therefore, to the best of its knowledge, there are no safety considerations for Noble Solutions to address in its ~~2015~~ 2016 RPS Plan.

DATED: ~~July 31, 2015~~ August 8, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Greg Bass
Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC
401 West "A" Street, Suite 500
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 684-8199
gbass@noblesolutions.com

Formatted Table

APPENDIX A

RENEWABLE NET SHORT TEMPLATE FOR NOBLE AMERICAS
ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: single, Tab stops: 1.53", Left

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

APPENDIX B

**REDLINED COPY OF NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS
LLC ~~2015~~2016 RPS PROCUREMENT PLAN**


VERIFICATION

This Verification is prepared in conformance with the requirements set forth in "SCOPING MEMO AND RULING OF ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER," May 22, 2015 in R. 15-02-020.

I am an employee of Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC, and I am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The statements in the paper styled "**NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC ~~2015~~2016 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROCUREMENT PLAN**," dated ~~July 31st, 2015~~August 8, 2016, are true and correct of my own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this ~~31st~~8th day of ~~July, 2015~~August, 2016 at San Diego, California.


Greg Bass
Director

Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC

VERIFICATION

This Verification is prepared in conformance with the requirements set forth in "SCOPING MEMO AND RULING OF ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER," May 22, 2015 in R. 15-02-020.

I am an employee of Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC, and I am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The statements in the paper styled "**NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC 2016 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROCUREMENT PLAN**," dated October 19, 2016, are true and correct of my own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 19th day of October, 2016 at San Diego, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Greg Bass", is written over a horizontal line.

Greg Bass
Director

Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC